

Table of Contents

		<u>Page</u>
A. Introduction		1
B. Report Summary		1
C. Conclusion		5
D. Status Report on I	Implementation of the Agreement ecember 2011	6
ATTACHMENTS		
Attachment A:	2011 Staff Working Group Meeting Attendance Sheet	
Attachment B:	List Depicting Action by Local Government Regarding I of School Board Representative on Local Planning Agen	
Attachment C:	Residential Developments with Recommendations to Pr Mitigation for Anticipated Student Impact, School Year	
Attachment D:	Approval/Effective Dates Regarding Public School Conc	urrency
Attachment E-1:	Broward County Quarterly Reports Regarding Approve Residential Land Use Plan Amendments (LUPAs), Plats and Site Plans	d
Attachment E-2:	Municipal Quarterly Reports Regarding Approved Residential Land Use Plan Amendments (LUPAs), Plats Site Plan Applications	and
Attachment F:	Municipal Quarterly Reports Regarding Approved Vest Site Plans	ed
Attachment G-1:	List of County Residential Plats Reviewed for Public Sch Concurrency Determination	iool
Attachment G-2:	List of Residential Site Plans Reviewed for Public School Concurrency Determination	L

A. INTRODUCTION

In compliance with state law, the Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning (ILA) was initially entered into by The School Board of Broward County, Florida (School Board), the Broward County Commission, and 26 Municipalities in Broward County in 2003, and became effective that same year. The purpose of the Agreement was to address the coordination of growth management issues and the provision and availability of public school facilities in Broward County. Since then, the Agreement has been entered into by another Municipality; therefore, the Agreement is currently between the School Board, the Broward County Commission, and 27 Municipalities. Subsequently, the Agreement has been amended twice; once to incorporate Public School Concurrency (PSC) provisions in 2008, and in 2010, to include the utilization of portable capacity in addition to the then existing utilization of permanent capacity (and when combined, are commonly referred to as gross capacity) to calculate the Level of Service Standard (LOS) during the implementation of PSC.

Consistent with state law, the Amended ILA is overseen by a fifteen (15) member Oversight Committee that consists of School Board Members, County Commissioner(s), Municipal elected officials, and community stakeholders; five each appointed by the School Board, the Broward County Commission, and the 27 Municipalities through the Broward League of Cities. The Committee meets quarterly each calendar year to conduct public hearings regarding implementation of the Amended ILA and other related matters, and during one of the quarterly meetings, issues the Annual Report required by the Amended Agreement to the School Board, Broward County, the 27 Municipalities and the general public regarding the successes and failures of implementation of the Amended Agreement in the preceding calendar year.

The Amended ILA consists of fifteen (15) Articles. However, this Report only examines thirteen (13) pertinent Articles of the Agreement which contains seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements. The Articles are as follows: Joint Meetings; Student Enrollment and Population Projections; Coordination and Sharing of Information; School Site Selection, Significant Renovations and Potential School Site Closures; Supporting Infrastructure; Plan Review, Consistency Determination; Public School Concurrency; Collocation and Shared Use; Resolution of Disputes, Oversight Process; Effective Date and Term, and Amendment Procedures.

Additionally, this Report indicates that in 2011, the signatories to the Amended Agreement successfully complied with seventy-six (76) of the seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements. However, the Report flags **one** (1) of the seventy-seven (77) specific requirements as an area that needs resolution.

The one area indicates that some Municipalities have not amended their comprehensive plans and Land Development Regulations (LDR) to address provisions of the Second Amended ILA. (Subsection 8.2(a) and see Attachment "D")

B. REPORT SUMMARY

Results of the coordination between the School Board, Broward County and the 27 Municipalities regarding compliance with the requirements of the thirteen (13) specific Articles of the Agreement and the seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements are delineated below.

Article II: Joint Meetings

Subsection 2.1 of this Article requires the Staff Working Group (SWG) which consists of staff representatives of the signatories to the Agreement to meet at least annually to address growth management issues and the provision and availability of public school facilities. However, to ensure that pertinent issues are adequately addressed, the SWG's By-Laws require the SWG to meet quarterly, and in 2011, the SWG met five times. School Board and Broward County staff representatives attended all five meetings. Also, some Municipalities attended all five meetings, and some Municipalities did not attend any meetings in 2011. However, the signatories satisfied the provisions of Article II.

Article III: Student Enrollment and Population Projections

The School District made available its 2011/12-2015/16 five-year student enrollment projections on the District's website. However, Broward County is evaluating its demographics program and population forecasting model in light of the 2010 Census and staffing changes and will be updating the population forecast upon completion of the evaluation. Based upon preliminary review, the County will use the University of Florida Bureau of Economic Business Research (BEBR) county-wide forecast and develop updated municipal and small area forecasts through a cooperative process with the Municipalities and School Board staff. It is anticipated the updated forecasts will be completed in 2012. Thus, the signatories did not completely satisfy the provisions of this Article.

Article IV: Coordination and Sharing of Information

The Superintendent provided the tentative 2011/12 - 2015/16 District Educational Facilities Plan (DEFP) to local governments for review for consistency with their comprehensive plans, and included schools scheduled for renovations in the Plan.

Also, the District's Five-Year Educational Plant Survey was validated by the Florida Department of Education (FLDOE) on May 27, 2009, and approved by the School Board on July 22, 2009. The recommendations in the approved Five-Year Educational Plant Survey continue to serve as validation of the projects contained in the currently adopted Five-Year DEFP which was reviewed by Broward County and all the Municipalities. Update of the next Plant Survey will be conducted in fiscal year 2013/2014.

Additionally, the County in conjunction with the Municipalities provided growth and development trends data to the School District, and the County provided the list of approved residential plats and adopted land use plan amendments to the Superintendent. Therefore, the signatories complied with the provisions of the Article.

Article V: School Site Selection, Significant Renovations, and Potential School Site Closures

The Site Review Committee which includes local government representatives did not review any new potential school sites in 2011. Also, the Committee did not submit a list regarding closure of existing schools to local governments because no school closures were planned or scheduled in 2011. Furthermore, the School Board included schools scheduled for renovations in the 2011/12 - 2015/16 Tentative DEFP that was provided to Broward County and Municipalities. The signatories satisfied the provisions of Article V.

Article VI: Supporting Infrastructure

The School District continues to work closely with the Municipalities to ensure that the needs of both entities are sufficiently addressed. School District staff conducts Design Review Committee Meetings on all major projects during the Schematic and Design Development phases and needs and ideas are communicated at these meetings. Also, these meetings are open to various governmental agencies. The School Board requires that Master Plans be developed for all major projects that include replacement of buildings and new additions, and these Plans were presented at specific levels of development, with participation by pertinent governmental agencies and Municipal officials. Thus, the provisions of Article VI were satisfied.

Article VII: Plan Review; Consistency Determination

The School District continues to participate in Broward County land use plan amendment and platting processes, and other growth management issues. The twenty-seven (27) Municipalities have taken action to include a School Board representative on their Local Planning Agency (LPA). (Subsection 7.2, See Attachment "B"). In 2011, School Board representatives did not attend any meetings in other Municipalities either because (i) the Board representative was not adequately provided advanced written notice and back-up materials regarding the meeting, (ii) because the Municipalities did not provide written notice requesting the Board representative to attend the meetings, (iii) or, because the Municipalities did not have any LPA meetings that necessitated the representative's attendance. (Subsection 7.2)

In 2011, staff reviewed three residential land use plan amendments (LUPAs) and no rezoning applications that increased density. The developers of the LUPA applications did not proffer voluntary mitigation for the projects. However, the annexation agreement regarding the LUPA (PC 10-4, PC 10-20, and PC 10-21) applications contained in the Wedge and which were reviewed in the 2009/10 school year required the dedication of an elementary school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-20, a middle school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-21. In 2011, the elementary and middle school sites were offered to the School Board to mitigate the pertinent student impact anticipated from the residential plats associated with LUPA PC 10-20 (Bruschi Plat) and LUPA PC 10-4 (Triple "H" Plat), and were accepted by the Board on August 16, 2011. The binding agreements for formal conveyance of the sites are anticipated to be approved and executed by the property owners, the School Board and if applicable, the City of Parkland City Commission in 2012. (Subsections 7.3 and 7.9) and see Attachment "C").

The appointed School Board Member routinely attended and participated in Broward County Planning Council (BCPC) meetings. In 2011, the District reviewed one non-residential LUPA application, one non-residential rezoning, 41 plat applications, several variances; special exceptions, and vacation petitions, and participated in various growth management meetings. The reports issued for reviewed residential and non-residential LUPA and rezoning applications were classified as "Public Schools Consistency Review". Also, Broward County and the Municipalities considered issues listed in Subsection 7.10 of the Agreement when reviewing comprehensive plans and rezoning applications, and provided workshop notices regarding community development plans to District staff. School District staff attended community development plan workshops that may affect public school facilities in Broward County. Thus, the provisions of Article VII were satisfied by the signatories.

Article VIII: Public School Concurrency

This Article requires that the County and Municipalities shall ensure that the applications for residential plat or site plan (or their functional equivalent) applications are complete, and the Public School Impact Applications (PSIA) pertaining to the applications are transmitted to the School District for review. This process is to ensure that capacity is available at Broward County Public Schools before such applications are approved and subsequently issued a building permit by the local governments. Subsequently, the County and Municipalities are required to provide quarterly reports to the School District regarding the approval or denial of the reviewed applications. The majority of the provisions of Article VIII were satisfied by the signatories. However, data indicates that some Municipalities have not amended their comprehensive plans and LDR's to address provisions of the Second Amended ILA. **Therefore, Subsection 8.2(a) of the Article needs resolution**.

Article IX: Collocation and Shared Use

This Article encourages the School Board and local governments to, during preparation of the Five-Year DEFP and local government capital improvement plans, collaborate on collocating school facilities with local government civic facilities to enable shared use of the facilities. The Article also outlines processes to address the provision of the collocation and shared use facilities information. The School Board, Broward County and Municipalities through their staff representatives on the SWG continue to participate in efforts to provide each other with the information on potential collocation facilities. The signatories satisfied the provisions of Article IX.

Article X: Resolution of Disputes

This Article outlines how disputes between the signatories regarding the Amended Agreement should be resolved. However, since the inception of the Agreement, no dispute has arisen between the signatories.

Article XI: Oversight Process

This Article authorized the creation of the Oversight Committee. In 2011, the School Board reappointed one of its representatives to the Committee; the Broward County Commission reappointed three of its representatives, and appointed one new representative to the Committee, and the Municipalities via the Broward League of Cities reappointed four of its representatives to the Committee. Thus, the signatories met the requirements of Article XI.

Article XII: Special Provisions

The evaluation of this Article is not necessary.

Article XIII: Effective Date and Term

In the 2009/10 school year, the School Board initiated amendments to the Amended ILA that proposed changing the LOS from 110% permanent FISH capacity to 100% gross capacity. The amendments were memorialized in the Second Amended ILA and complied with Section 14.1 (f) of this Amended Agreement. The School Board, Broward County and 22 Municipalities approved the Agreement on the

dates depicted in Attachment "D". Therefore, the requirements of Article XIII were met by the signatories.

C. CONCLUSION

The School Board, Broward County and the 27 Municipalities during the period from January through December 2011 successfully complied with seventy-six (76) of the seventy-seven (77) specific measurable requirements of the Amended ILA, but did not comply with one (1) specific measurable requirement. The specific area is reflected in Attachment "D" and pertains to the fact that certain Municipalities have not amended their comprehensive plans and LDR's to address provisions of the Second Amended ILA. **Therefore, the cited specific area needs resolution.**

In conclusion, resolution of the one (1) area cited in this Annual Report may further the successful implementation of the Amended ILA in 2012.

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
JOINT MEETINGS 2.1 - Hold annual Staff Working Group (SWG) meetings.	Quarterly	Consistently attended by School Board representatives.	representatives.	Quorum was met at every regularly scheduled meeting. Attachment "A" depicts representatives that attended meetings and those that did not attend meetings in the period covered by this Annual Report.
2.2 - The SWG shall prepare an annual assessment report on the effectiveness of public school concurrency (PSC).	Annually by December 31 of each year.	Consensus by a majority of the SWG Members is that the pertinent section(s) of the 2011 Annual Report will be used to satisfy this requirement of the Amended ILA.	Members is that the pertinent section(s) of the	
3.1 - School Board, Broward County and Municipalities to coordinate and base plans upon consistent projections of population and student enrollment. Provide five-year student enrollment and countywide population projections to SWG.	September of			available.
3.2 - Superintendent to use student population projections provided by the demographic, revenue, and education estimating conference and development trends data provided by the local governments during preparation of student enrollment projections.		Each year, staff prepares student enrollment projections based on a variety of factors. Such factors are, but not limited to, the demographic, revenue and education estimating conference, and forecasted Certificates of Occupancy supplied by each local government.		N/A

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
3.3 - Broward County to provide population projections to verify geographic distribution of countywide public school student projections.			Broward County is evaluating its demographics program and population forecasting model in light of the 2010 Census and staffing changes and will be updating the forecast upon completion of the evaluation. Based upon preliminary review, the County will use the University of Florida Bureau of Economic Business Research county-wide forecast and develop updated municipal and small area forecasts through a cooperative process with the municipalities and School Board staff. It is anticipated the updated forecasts will be completed in 2012.	
COORDINATION AND SHARING OF INFORMATION 4.1 - Commencing no later than July 30, 2009, and annually thereafter, the Superintendent shall submit the tentative District Educational Facilities Plan (DEFP) to local governments for review for consistency with the local government comprehensive plan.	each year.	The tentative DEFP was provided to Broward County and Municipalities on July 19, 2011. In the correspondence, the entities were advised to share the information with their elected officials and provide necessary comments to District staff. Municipalities were advised of the Public Hearing on August 2, 2011 and were encouraged to attend or provide feedback.	tentative DEFP.	Municipalities received and reviewed the tentative DEFP.
4.2 - Include schools scheduled for renovations in the tentative DEFP.	Annually	The School Board included schools scheduled for renovations in the 2011/12 - 2015/16 tentative DEFP.	N/A	N/A

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
4.3 - Coordinate development of the Five-Year Educational Plant Survey with the SWG.	Once in five years.	The current District's Five-Year Educational Plant Survey was validated by the Florida Department of Education (FLDOE) on 5/27/09, and approved by the School Board on 7/22/09. The recommendations in the approved Five-Year Educational Plant Survey serve as validation of the projects in the tentative and subsequent adopted District Educational Facilities Plan (DEFP) which was reviewed by Broward County and all the Municipalities. Update of the next Plant Survey will be conducted in fiscal year 2013/2014.	N/A	N/A
4.4 - Commencing August 31, 2007 and annually thereafter, the County in conjunction with the Municipalities shall provide the Superintendent with a report on growth and development trends within their jurisdiction.	August 31 of each			The Municipalities in conjunction with the County provided growth and development trends data to the School District.
4.5 - Quarterly, the County to provide a list of residential plats approved by the Broward County Commission during the preceding quarter to the Superintendent.		F 1	As applicable, Broward County consistently provided this information to the School District on a monthly basis.	
			As applicable, Broward County Planning Council consistently provided the information to the School District.	N/A
SCHOOL SITE SELECTION, SIGNIFICANT RENOVATIONS, AND POTENTIAL SCHOOL SITE CLOSURES 5.1 - School Board staff to review potential sites for new schools, closure of existing schools and significant renovations consistent with School Board Policy 5000. Include the recommendations in the DEFP.		The Site Review Committee which includes local government representatives did not review any new potential school sites in 2011.	N/A	N/A

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
5.2 - Site Review Committee to submit a list of potential new schools, closure of existing schools and renovations to local governments for an informal consistency review with the comprehensive plan.	ý	The Site Review Committee which includes local government representatives did not review any new potential school sites in 2011. Also, the Committee did not submit a list regarding closure of existing schools to local governments because no school closures were planned nor scheduled in 2011. Additionally, the School Board included schools scheduled for renovations in the 2011/12 - 2015/16 tentative DEFP that were provided to Broward County and Municipalities.		N/A
Expand the Superintendent's Site Review Committee to include a permanent local government representative and a floating member. Amend School Board Policy 7000 to list membership of the Committee.		In 2004, School Board Policy 7000 was amended to include all representatives in accordance with provisions of the Amended Interlocal Agreement, and subsequently amended in 2008 to include additional representatives.		The current Municipal permanent representative to the Site Review Committee is Mayor Debby Eisinger of Cooper City.
5.3 - The Superintendent to coordinate site plan information for new schools with affected local governments in accordance with state statutes.	-	Site plan information is shared with affected local governments during Design Review Committee (DRC) meetings. These meetings are conducted by School District staff during the schematic and design development phases of all major projects. These meetings are open to all governing agencies.		N/A
5.4 - Pursuant to Section 1013.33(11), at least 60 days prior to acquisition or leasing information of property for new public educational facility, Superintendent to provide written notice to pertinent local government. Local government to provide comments within 45 days indicating plans consistency with local government's land use and comprehensive plan to the Superintendent.	-	No new potential school sites were acquired or leased in 2011. Therefore, no written notice was required to be provided to the pertinent local government.	N/A	N/A
5.5 - If a local government determines that a proposed school site is consistent with the comprehensive plan pursuant to this Agreement, or at any other time when such a determination is made, the School Board shall follow the procedures contained in Section 1013.33(12), F.S., as may be amended. If a local government determines that the proposed school site is inconsistent with the comprehensive plan, the School Board may request a plan amendment consistent with the local government's plan amendment procedures and requirements.	As necessary	No new potential school sites were acquired in 2011. Therefore, no written notice was required to be provided to the pertinent local government.	N/A	N/A

SECTIONS SUPPORTING INFRASTRUCTURE	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
6.1 - The School Board and affected local governments will jointly determine the need for and timing of on-site and off-site improvements to public facilities necessary to support each new school or proposed significant renovation.	,	The School Board continues to work closely with the Municipalities to ensure that the needs of both entities are sufficiently addressed. An appropriate forum for communicating needs and ideas occurs at the Design Review Committee Meeting which is conducted by School District staff on all major projects during the Schematic and Design Development phases. These meetings are open to various governing agencies. Also, the School Board requires that Master Plans should be developed on all major projects that include replacement of buildings and new additions. The Master Plan is presented at specific levels of development, with participation by pertinent governing agencies and Municipal officials.		The Municipalities continue to work closely with the School Board, the County and developers.
PLAN REVIEWS; CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION 7.1 - School Board to appoint representatives to sit on Broward County and pertinent municipal local planning agency (LPA).	Immediately	The Signatories of the Amended ILA were sent written notification regarding the appointed School Board's representative to Broward County and Municipalities.	N/A	N/A
7.2 - Local governments to take action to include School Board representatives on LPA and enable the representatives to attend meetings at which the LPA considers comprehensive plan amendments and rezoning applications that would increase residential density.	,	In 2011, School Board representatives did not attend any LPA meetings in Broward County or in any Municipality either because: (i) the Board representative was not adequately provided advanced written notice and back-up materials regarding the meeting, (ii) because the County or Municipalities did not provide written notice requesting the Board representative to attend the meetings, (iii) or because the County or Municipalities did not have any LPA meetings that necessitated the representative's attendance.	include a School Board representative on the County's LPA. In 2011, the County did not request School Board representative(s) to attend any LPA meetings. This is because the County has not had any LPA meetings that	the Amended ILA have taken action to include a School Board representative on their LPA. However, it should be noted that the Village of Lazy Lake being the 28th Municipality has, thus far, not signed the Agreement.
7.3 - Broward County and Municipalities agree to provide to the Superintendent, rezoning and comprehensive plan amendment applications that will increase residential density. The Superintendent shall review the applications and provide a report indicating anticipated student impact to the local government. The County and Municipalities shall provide deadline for receiving comments from the Superintendent, however, the deadline shall be no less than 45 days from the date the information is provided. The County and Municipalities will provide written quarterly reports to the Superintendent when the application receives final approval.		In 2011, staff reviewed three residential land use plan amendments (LUPAs) and no rezoning applications that increased density. The developers of the LUPA applications did not proffer voluntary mitigation for the project. (See Attachment "C").	provided by Broward County to the School	in 2011 are located in the City of Coconut Creek and in the Town of Davie.

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
7.4 - School Board to continue participation in the Broward County land use plan amendment review process.		In 2011, the appointed School Board Member routinely attended and participated in Broward County Planning Council meetings.	N/A	N/A
7.5 - School Board to continue to review non-residential development and other pertinent development applications that may affect school properties, and as necessary participate on other growth management issues.	Ongoing	In 2011, the District reviewed one non-residential LUPA application, one non-residential rezoning, 41 plat applications, several variances; special exceptions, and vacation petitions, and participated in various growth management meetings.		N/A
7.6 - Broward County and Municipalities to provide public notice of land use and comprehensive plan amendments, rezonings, development of regional impact applications and other residential or mixed-use projects with residential component pending before them that may affect student enrollment, projections and school facilities to the Superintendent. Notice to be provided at the same time as provided to the public under County or Municipal ordinance.		N/A	As applicable, Broward County complied with this requirement in 2011.	As applicable, a majority of the Municipalities complied with this requirement in 2011.
7.7 - The review of LUPA and rezoning applications by the Superintendent shall be classified as "Public Schools Consistency Review", and applicants may delineate the residential type, units and bedroom mix of the project if known; if not specified, the review shall be based upon the maximum student generation rates for that residential type.		The reports issued for reviewed residential and non-residential LUPA and rezoning applications complied with the requirements of this Subsection.	N/A	N/A
7.8 - Written comments provided by the Superintendent to the County and Municipalities regarding the "Public Schools Consistency Review" will specify the anticipated student impact, capacity status of affected schools, depict ten year student enrollment projects by planning area, planned capacity improvements, identify available alternatives, and state that the proposed development will be subject to public school concurrency review at the time of plat and site plan review.		At the minimum, the reports issued for "Public Schools Consistency Review" projects in 2011 contained all the information required by this Subsection.	N/A	N/A

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
7.9 - If the "Public Schools Consistency Review" indicates that capacity is not available at the impacted school(s), or anticipated in the District Educational Facilities Plan, the applicant may choose to offer, and the School Board may consider the voluntary mitigation to address the anticipated impact. The voluntary mitigation shall be limited to the options listed in this Subsection.		The annexation agreement regarding the LUPA (PC 10-4, PC 10-20, and PC 10-21) applications contained in the Wedge and reviewed in the 2009/10 school year required the dedication of an elementary school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-20, a middle school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-4, and a high school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-4, and a high school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-21. In 2011, the elementary and middle school sites were offered to the School Board to mitigate the pertinent student impact anticipated from the plats associated with LUPA PC 10-20 (Bruschi Plat) and LUPA PC 10-4 (Triple "H" Plat), and accepted by the Board on August 16, 2011. Also, the binding agreement for formal conveyance of the sites is anticipated to be approved and executed by the property owners, the School Board and if applicable, the City of Parkland City Commission in 2012. However, other LUPA and rezoning applications with increased density that were reviewed by the School District did not propose any voluntary mitigation.		N/A
7.10 - Broward County and Municipalities may consider issues depicted in the Subsection and School Board comments when reviewing comprehensive plan and rezoning applications.	Ongoing	N/A	Broward County as appropriate considers issues depicted in the Subsection and School District staff comments when reviewing LUPA and rezoning applications.	issues depicted in the Subsection and School
7.11 - County and Municipalities to provide notice to the Superintendent to enable the District to participate and provide comments in workshops regarding community development plans that may affect public school facilities. PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY		In 2011, School District staff attended four community development plans workshops that may affect public school facilities in Broward County.		School District staff to attend community
8.1 Required Elements of Public School Concurrency 8.1(a) - The amendments to Public School Facilities Element (PSFE) and related amendments to the Capital Improvement Element (CIE) and the Intergovernmental Coordination Element (ICE) in the County and Municipal comprehensive plans to satisfy Sections 163.3177 and 163.3180 F.S. are being adopted into the comprehensive plans of the County and Municipalities concurrently with the execution of the Amended ILA by the County and municipalities.	,	N/A	The County complied with this Subsection on the date depicted in Attachment "D".	The Municipalities have established PSC management systems within their jurisdictions, and the date the Municipalities amended their comprehensive plans and land development codes to address the provisions of the Second Amended ILA are depicted in Attachment "D".

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
8.1(b) - The experience under the revised comprehensive plans and the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP shall be reviewed each year by the County and Municipalities at the SWG meeting to determine whether updates to the comprehensive plans are required. The Five-Year DEFP shall be updated annually to add a new fifth year. Any other amendments to the comprehensive plans shall be transmitted in time to allow their adoption concurrently with update to the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP.	March 31	The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 8, 2011 and the adopted Plan was made available to the County and Municipalities on October 10, 2011.		
8.1(c) - School related amendments shall be provided to the School Board at least 60 days prior to transmittal or adoption if no transmittal is required, unless adopting school-related amendments that are identical to Broward County, then they shall be provided at least 1 month prior to the Local Planning Agency (LPA) meeting. The School Board shall review the amendments and provide comments in writing if any, to the local government either (i) at least one week prior to the LPA meeting on the amendment, or (ii) by attending and providing comments at the LPA meeting.	prior to transmittal or one month prior to LPA meeting, as	On November 10, 2011, the School District staff attended the Broward County LPA meeting at which the County presented proposed amendments to its comprehensive plan that are to be consistent with the provisions of the Second Amended ILA. District staff participated in the discussions regarding the amendments. Additionally in 2011, District staff reviewed comprehensive plan amendments for the Municipalities of Fort Lauderdale, Lauderdale-By-The-Sea, Pembroke Park, Pembroke Pines, Plantation, Pompano Beach, and Southwest Ranches.	presented proposed amendments to its comprehensive plan that are to be consistent with the provisions of the Second Amended ILA. Additionally, the amendments were approved by the Broward County Commission	Lauderdale-By-The-Sea, Pembroke Park, Pembroke Pines, Plantation, Pompano Beach, and Southwest Ranches sent District staff
8.1(d) - The County and Municipalities school-related element provisions must be consistent with each other and with the School Board's facilities plan and policies. Municipalities may choose to adopt all or a portion of the County's school-related element provisions by reference, or it may adopt its own provisions. If a Municipality adopts its own provisions, any goal, objective, policy or other provision relevant to the establishment and maintenance of a uniform district-wide school concurrency system shall be substantially the same as its counter part in the County and Municipalities comprehensive plans.		N/A	The County's School Related Amendments are consistent with those of the Municipalities and with the School Board's facilities plan and policies.	Municipalities' School Related Amendments reviewed by the School District are consistent with each other and with the School Board's facilities plan and policies.

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
If any school-related element amendment is proposed that affects the uniform district-wide school concurrency system, it shall not become effective in accordance with Section 14.1 (f) of this Amended Agreement. Municipalities and the County may adopt the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP either by reference or by restatement of the relevant portions of the adopted Five-Year DEFP, but the Municipalities and the County shall not attempt to modify the adopted Five-Year DEFP. To the extent feasible, the County and Municipalities agree to coordinate the timing of approval of the amendments.		In the 2009/10, the School Board initiated amendments to the Amended ILA that proposed changing the LOS from 110% permanent FISH capacity to 100% gross capacity. The amendments were memorialized in the Second Amended ILA and complied with Section 14.1 (f) of this Amended Agreement.	Amended ILA.	Twenty-two Municipalities approved the Second Amended ILA in 2010.
8.1(e) - In addition to the other coordination procedures provided for in this Amended Interlocal Agreement, at the time of the Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR), the County and Municipalities shall schedule at least one (1) SWG meeting with the School Board to address needed updates to the school-related plan provisions.	EAR	The County's EAR was approved by the Florida Department of Community Affairs on 4/29/2011. Although not related to the EAR process, the SWG established a Subcommittee, which met on July 14, 2011, and included District staff to make recommendations regarding needed changes to the Second Amended Interlocal Agreement and the County's Public School Facilities Element due to changes to growth management law from the 2011 Florida Legislative Session and presented this information to the SWG on September 1, 2011.	on the regular SWG agenda, and the County coordinates with the School District on any needed updates.	on the regular SWG agenda, and the
8.2 Specific Responsibilities (a) Broward County and the Municipalities, within 90 days of the comprehensive plan amendments in accordance with this Amended Agreement becoming effective shall amend their respective Land Development Codes (LDC) and adopt the required public school concurrency (PSC) provisions, consistent with the requirements of this Amended Agreement. Such amendment shall include the public school concurrency management system outlining the development review process for proposed residential developments.	the comprehensive plan amendments becoming		Data depicted in Attachment "D" indicates the date Broward County amended it's comprehensive plan and LDC to adopt PSC provisions regarding the new 100% gross LOS.	date Municipalities amended their comprehensive plans and LDC's to adopt PSC

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(b) Broward County and the Municipalities, in accordance with the Amended ILA shall: 1.) Not approve or issue any residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) that is not exempted or vested pursuant to Subsection 8.11 of this Amended ILA until the District has reported that the school concurrency requirement has been satisfied. 2.) Maintain data for approved residential development that was the subject of PSC review. The data shall be provided to the District in a quarterly report after final approval of the application by the governing body, and must include information stated in this Subsection. 3.) Transmit residential plats and site plans (or their functional equivalents) and proposed amendments to such applications to the District for review and comment, consistent with Subsection 8.13 of this Amended ILA. 4.) Commencing August 31, 2007, and annually thereafter as a part of the growth and development trend required by Subsection 4.4, provide the total number of dwelling units issued certificates of occupancy to the School Board.			provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial	provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plan (or functional equivalent)
(c) The School Board shall do the following: 1.) Annually prepare and update its adopted Five-Year DEFP, which for the purposes of PSC shall be considered the financially feasible Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan. The Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan shall reflect the capacity needed to meet the adopted level of service standard (LOS) for each District elementary, middle and high school, during the five year period, but no later than the fifth year of the Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan. 2.) Establish a process to ensure the maximum utilization of permanent capacity at each District elementary, middle and high school and to ensure that the schools are operating at or below the adopted LOS.		The School Board held a public hearing on September 08, 2011 to adopt the Five-Year DEFP. Additionally, the District has established a process to ensure the maximum utilization of permanent capacity at each elementary, middle and high school, provided school related data to the County and Municipalities regarding update of their comprehensive plans, maintains data regarding capacity availability at elementary, middle and high schools, and established a mechanism for the review of proportionate share mitigation.	N/A	N/A

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(c) 3.) Commencing October 15, 2009, and annually thereafter, provide the County and Municipalities with the required School District data related to PSC, and related analysis needed to amend or annually update their comprehensive plans. 4.) Review proposed plat and site plan (or functional equivalent) applications for compliance with PSC requirements. 5.) As a component of the District's PSC management system, maintain data regarding available capacity at the District's elementary, middle and high school within each CSA after factoring the student impact anticipated from the proposed residential development into the database. 6.) Review proposed proportionate share mitigation options for new residential development, and determine acceptability of such mitigation options. 7.) Prior to the effective date of PSC, amend School Board Policy 1161 to incorporate PSC provisions and delineate the District's PSC management system. 8.) As necessary, amend the DEFP to incorporate funds accepted as proportionate share mitigation.		On October 14, 2011, the District provided Broward County and the Municipalities with the required School District data related to PSC, and related analysis needed to amend or annually update their comprehensive plans. Plat and site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed in 2011 for PSC determinations are depicted in Attachment "G-1" and "G-2". The District also updated periodically and published, the "Public School Concurrency Planning Document" (PSCPD), which is used to maintain data regarding available capacity at each elementary, middle and high school after factoring the student impact anticipated from proposed residential development.		N/A
8.3 Adopted School Board DEFP (a) Same requirement as Subsection 8.2(c)(1)	Annually, on or before September 30th.	Same as above	N/A	N/A
(b) At the minimum, the adopted Five-Year DEFP and each annual update shall specify all new construction, expansion and remodeling, which will add permanent capacity to elementary, middle and high schools, and also include information specified in Subsection 4.1 of this Amended Agreement.		The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 08, 2011, and the adopted Plan was made available to the County and Municipalities on October 10, 2011.		N/A

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(c) The adopted Five-Year DEFP and each annual update shall include a description of each school project, a listing of funds to be spent in each fiscal year for the planning, preparation, land acquisition, and the actual construction and remodeling of each pertinent school project which adds capacity or modernizes existing facilities; the amount of capacity added, if any; and a generalized location map for planned new schools. Such location maps shall be considered as data and analysis in support of the PSFE of the County's and Municipalities' Comprehensive Plans.		The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 08, 2011, and the adopted Plan was made available to the County and Municipalities on October 10, 2011.	N/A	N/A
(d) The adopted Five-Year DEFP and each annual update shall identify the five-year projected student enrollment, permanent capacity and utilization percentage of all elementary, middle and high schools.		The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 08, 2011, and the adopted Plan was made available to the County and Municipalities on October 10, 2011.	N/A	N/A
(e) The adopted school boundaries for each elementary, middle and high school, as annually conducted by the School Board shall also become the adopted concurrency service area (as referenced in Section 8.8), and shall be consistent with permanent capacity additions reflected in the adopted Five-Year DEFP. The school boundaries maps shall be considered as data and analysis in support of the PSFE of the County's and Municipalities' Comprehensive Plans.		The adopted school boundaries are consistent with permanent capacity additions reflected in the adopted Five-Year DEFP.	N/A	N/A

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
8.4 Transmittal (a) In addition to the provisions pertaining to the Tentative District Educational Facilities Plan as delineated in Article IV of this Amended Agreement, the School Board, upon completion and adoption of the Five-Year DEFP, shall make the DEFP available to the Local Governments no later than thirty (30) days after adoption of the District Educational Facilities Plan.	days after adoption	The School Board adopted the Five-Year DEFP on September 08, 2011, and the adopted Plan was made available to the County and Municipalities on October 10, 2011.		N/A
8.5 Comprehensive Plans - Development, Adoption and Amendment of the Capital Improvements Elements (a) Upon adoption of the Five-Year DEFP and transmittal to Local Governments, the County and Municipalities shall adopt the School Board's Five-Year "Adopted DEFP" or applicable sections of the Adopted DEFP as a part of the Capital Improvements Element (CIE) of their comprehensive plans.	Ongoing			As applicable, Municipalities will adopt the transmitted School Board adopted Five-Year DEFP.
(b) Any amendment, correction or modification to the adopted Five Year DEFP concerning costs, revenue sources, or acceptance of facilities pursuant to dedications or proportionate share mitigation, once adopted by the School Board, shall be transmitted by the School District to the County and Municipalities within forty-five (45) days after the adoption. The County and Municipalities shall amend their CIE to reflect the changes consistent with the annual update required by the State to their CIE. Such amendments may be accomplished by ordinance, and shall not be considered amendments to the comprehensive plan, pursuant to Section 163.3177 (6)(b)(1), Florida Statutes.		No amendments have been made to the School Board's Five-Year DEFP since transmittal of the document to Broward County and the Municipalities.	N/A	N/A
(c) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY		N/A	N/A	N/A

SECTIONS 8.6 Public School Concurrency Standard (a) The PSC standard requires Broward County, the Municipalities and the School Board to maintain the adopted LOS for Broward County Public Schools. The PSC standard requires that all proposed plat and site plan (or functional equivalent) applications containing residential units shall be reviewed to ensure that adequate school capacity will exist prior to or concurrent with the impact of the proposed residential development, to accommodate the additional student growth at the adopted LOS.		·	provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial	MUNICIPALITIES Site plan (or functional equivalent) applications reviewed by the School District in 2011 are depicted in Attachment "G-2". Attachment "E-2" depicts Municipalities that provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plan (or functional equivalent) applications processed by the Municipalities. Also, the Attachment depicts the formal action taken by their governing bodies on the applications.
8.7 Commencement (a) PSC described in this Amended Agreement shall commence upon the comprehensive plan amendments related to the PSFE by the County and Municipalities becoming effective, and the execution of this Amended Agreement by the parties identified herein. However, PSC shall commence no earlier than February 1, 2008.	the entity	Board incorporated pertinent provisions of the Second Amended ILA into	Subsequently, the County incorporated pertinent provisions of the Second Amended ILA into its comprehensive plan, and the date	Subsequently, the Municipalities incorporated pertinent provisions of the Second Amended
8.8 Concurrency Service Areas EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS (a) (b) and (c) IS NOT NECESSARY 8.9 Adoption of Concurrency Service Areas				
(a) Adoption of the CSA's shall be as delineated in School Board Policy 5000 to be amended consistent with the Amended Agreement, and as may be amended from time to time.		As required, the adoption of the CSA's are delineated in School Board Policy 5000. On February 23, 2011, the School Board adopted the 2011/12 effective CSAs for elementary, middle, and high schools.		N/A
(b) No later than forty-five (45) days after adoption of the CSAs, the School District shall transmit the new CSAs to the County and Municipalities. The County and Municipalities shall incorporate the adopted "Annual School Attendance Areas/Boundaries and School Usage Report" and the School Board's process for modification of the CSA's contained in the "Annual School Attendance Areas/Boundaries and School Usage Report" as data and analysis in support of the PSFE of their Comprehensive Plans.		In February of 2011, the School Board adopted the 2011/12 effective CSA's for elementary, middle and high school boundaries, and were transmitted to the County and Municipalities.	N/A	N/A

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
8.10 Level of Service Standard EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) IS NOT NECESSARY				
8.11 Exemptions and Vested Developments (a) The following residential plats and site plans (or functional equivalent) shall be exempt from the requirements of PSC: 1. All residential plats and site plans (or functional equivalent) which generate less than one student in the relevant CSA. 2. Any amendment to or replat of a residential plat or amendment to a residential site plan (or functional equivalent) which generates less than one additional student. (The former and latter developments shall be subject to the payment of school impact fees). 3. Any age restricted community with no permanent residents under the age of eighteen (18). Exemption for an aged restricted community shall only be available subject to a recorded Restrictive Covenant limiting the age of all permanent residents to eighteen (18) years and older. 4. As may otherwise be exempted by Florida Statutes.			provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial	reviewed by the School District in 2011 are
(b) The following residential plats and site plans (or functional equivalent) shall be vested from the requirements of PSC: 1. Any residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) located within a previously approved comprehensive plan amendment or rezoning which is subject to a mitigation agreement in accordance with the following: (i.) The mitigation to address the impact of the new students anticipated from the development has been accepted by the School Board consistent with School Board Policy 1161, and; (ii.) A Declaration of Restrictive Covenant has been properly executed and recorded by the Developer or the development is located within a boundary area that is subject to an executed and recorded triparty agreement consistent with School Board Policy 1161 as may be amended from time to time. 2. Any residential site plan (or functional equivalent) that has received final approval, which has not expired prior to the effective date of public school concurrency.		In 2011, the School District reviewed 7 applications that met the provisions of this Subsection. These applications are included in the list of reviewed residential projects contained in Attachments "G-1" and "G-2".		

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(b) 3. Any residential site plan (or functional equivalent) which is included within a residential plat or development agreement for which school impacts have been satisfied for the dwelling units included in the proposed site plan (or functional equivalent). Information regarding each residential site plan (or functional equivalent) shall be transmitted to the School District in a quarterly report. In the transmittal of such residential site plan (or functional equivalent) to the School District, the County or Municipality shall provide additional written information as required in the quarterly report to verify that the units in the application are vested. The County will provide the necessary information to the School Board and Municipalities to identify the vested plats and further specifics to be contained in the adopted land development regulations. As applicable, the Municipalities shall utilize the information provided by the County regarding the vested plat to complete information as required in the quarterly report.		In 2011, the School District received information from the Municipalities that two site plan applications were approved that met this Subsection. (See Attachment "F").	the County to the School District indicated that	
(c) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY				
8.12 Public School Concurrency Management System SUBSECTIONS (a) and (b) SAME AS SUBSECTION 8.2 (a). SUBSECTION 8.12(c) SAME AS SUBSECTION 8.2(c)(7). THUS, EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS IS NOT NECESSARY				

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
8.13 Review Process (a) Broward County, the Municipalities and the School Board shall ensure that the LOS established for each school type and CSA is maintained. No residential plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) application or amendments thereto shall be approved by the County or Municipalities, unless the residential development is exempt or vested from the requirements specified in Subsection 8.11 of this Amended Agreement, or until a School Capacity Availability Determination Letter (SCAD) has been issued by the School District indicating that adequate capacity is available. This shall not limit the authority of a Local Government to deny a development permit or its functional equivalent, pursuant to its home rule or governmental regulatory powers for reasons other than school capacity.		·	provided by Broward County to the School District regarding residential plat applications that were reviewed by Broward County. It also depicts information on approval or denial of the applications by the Broward County	provided or did not provide quarterly reports during each quarter to the District regarding residential site plan (or functional equivalent)
(b) Any applicant submitting a plat or site plan (or functional equivalent) application with a residential component that is not exempt or vested under Subsection 8.11 of this Amended Agreement is subject to PSC and shall be required to submit a Public School Impact Application (PSIA) to the Local Government, for review by the School District including information called for in this Subsection.		Same as above.	Same as above.	Same as above.
(c) The Local Government shall ensure the applications for residential plat or site plans (or their functional equivalent) are complete and transmit them to the School District for review. Upon determination that the application is complete, the Local Government shall transmit the PSIA to the School District for review. This process does not preclude the Local Government from requiring that the applicant submit the PSIA directly to the School District for review.		N/A	Same as above.	Same as above.

	1	T	T	T
SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(d) The School District will review the properly submitted and completed PSIA and verify whether or not sufficient capacity is available at the impacted CSA to accommodate students anticipated from the proposed development. The process for review of the application shall be as follows: 1. The School District shall review, on a first come, first serve basis, the completed PSIA. The SCAD Letter shall be sent to the applicant and the affected Local Government no later than forty-five (45) days after receipt of the PSIA. 2. Notification shall be provided to the applicant and affected Local Government if the application is incomplete. 3. THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION.		The SCAD Letters issued for the received/reviewed PSIA were transmitted to the applicant, and as applicable to Broward County and the Municipalities within the maximum specified 45-day review period.	1, -, -	N/A
(e) Student Generation Rates Calculation The determination of students anticipated from a proposed PSIA shall be based on the utilization of the effective, adopted and pertinent student generation rates contained within the Broward County Land Development Code (BCLDC). Update of the student generation rates shall be conducted at least once every three (3) years by the School Board in coordination with the County and Municipalities. (f) Utilization Determination EVALUATION OF SUBSECTIONS (f)(1) and (2) IS NOT NECESSARY	·	The Oversight Committee voted and recommended that the School Board should not update the School Impact Fee Study for the next 24 months.	Broward County participated in the update of the current SGR.	Municipalities through the SWG participated in the update of the current SGR.

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
3. If it is determined that there is no capacity at the assigned school(s) as determined by the procedure described in Subsection 8.13(f)2 above because the projected growth from a residential development causes the adopted LOS to be exceeded in the subject CSA, the School District may, if practical, utilize pertinent options delineated in School Board Policy 5000, to be amended consistent with this Amended Agreement and as may be amended from time to time to ensure maximum utilization at the CSA. Otherwise, all of the CSA's immediately adjacent to the primary impacted CSA will be examined for available capacity before a determination letter is issued indicating that the development has satisfied PSC.	ŭ ŭ	In 2011, the School District's Capacity Allocation Team (CAT) (the Group responsible for the allocation of available excess capacity from adjacent CSAs as called for in School Board Policy 1161) met five times and considered and allocated excess available capacity to four plat and one site plan applications reviewed by the District.	N/A	N/A
4. If necessary, the School District will reassign previously allocated adjacent capacity to achieve maximum utilization, except where such reassignment: (i.) Creates additional transportation cost impacts due to natural or physical barriers; or (ii.) Results in a violation of federal, State or School Board Policy.	Ongoing	In 2011, the School District did not reassign previously allocated adjacent capacity to achieve maximum utilization.	N/A	N/A
(g) Issuance and Term of Public School concurrency - EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY				
8.14 Proportionate Share Mitigation (a) The School Board shall consider proportionate share mitigation pursuant to provisions of this Amended Agreement. Such consideration shall be consistent with the mitigation provisions outlined herein and delineated in School Board Policy 1161, to be amended consistent with this Amended Agreement and as may be amended from time to time, regarding PSC. If the proposed mitigation option is accepted and deemed financially feasible by the School Board, the applicant or Local Government shall enter into an enforceable and binding agreement.		The annexation agreement regarding the LUPA (PC 10-4, PC 10-20, and PC 10-21) applications contained in the Wedge Area and reviewed in the 2009/10 school year required the dedication of an elementary school site by the owner of the Bruschi Plat (which is associated with LUPA PC 10-20), a middle school site by the owner of the Triple "H" Plat (which is associated with LUPA PC 10-4), and a high school site by the owner of LUPA PC 10-21. In 2011, the elementary and middle school sites were offered to the School Board to mitigate the pertinent student impact anticipated from the Bruschi and Triple "H" Plats, and accepted by the Board on August 16, 2011. Also, the binding agreement for formal conveyance of the sites is anticipated to be approved and executed by the property owners, the School Board and if applicable, the City of Parkland City Commission in 2012.	N/A	N/A
(b) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY				

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
8.15 Proportionate Share Mitigation Options EVALUATION OF THE ENTIRE SUBSECTION 8.15 IS NOT NECESSARY				
8.16 Formula for the Calculation of Proportionate Share Mitigation Options (a) EVALUATION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY				
(b) A Mitigation contribution provided by a Developer to offset the impact of a residential development must be directed by the School Board toward a permanent school capacity project identified in the first three years of the School District's adopted Five-Year DEFP, or as appropriate, scheduled as a new project in the first three years of the adopted Five-Year DEFP. If the School Board accepts proportionate share mitigation based on the latter, the Board shall amend the adopted Five-Year DEFP to include the proportionate share amount or value of the mitigation. Capacity projects identified within the first three (3) years of the Five-Year Capital Facility Plan shall be considered as committed in accordance with the pertinent Sections of this Amended Agreement.		As necessary, information about the elementary and middle school sites offered to mitigate the pertinent student impact anticipated from the Bruschi Plat and the Triple "H" Plat will be incorporated into the adopted Five-Year DEFP upon execution of the binding agreement that conveys the school sites to the School Board.		N/A
(c) If capacity projects are planned in years four (4) or five (5) of the School Board's adopted Five-Year DEFP within the same CSA as the proposed residential development, and if the School Board agrees, the Developer may pay his proportionate share to advance the improvement into the first three years of the adopted Five-Year DEFP to mitigate the proposed development in accordance with the formula provided herein.		Same as above	N/A	N/A

	>-			
SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(d) Guidelines for the expenditure of proportionate share mitigation funds towards permanent capacity identified in the adopted Five-Year DEFP, shall be as follows: 1. The School Board shall utilize monies paid by applicants, to provide needed permanent capacity at those schools identified in the District's development review report as being impacted by the development. 2. If site constraints or other feasibility issues make it impracticable for the School Board to provide the needed permanent capacity at the affected school(s) as delineated above, as feasible, the School Board will make efforts to provide the needed capacity at school(s) located immediately adjacent to the primarily impacted CSA(s) as found in the current Adopted Five-Year DEFP (s), thus relieving overcrowding at the primary identified impacted school(s).		Same as above	N/A	N/A
3. If disbursement of the mitigation funds is not possible as outlined above, the funds will be spent in the applicable school impact fee service area delineated in the adopted BCLDC in a manner that ensures that the impact of the development is still addressed at the primary affected CSA or an adjacent CSA.	Ongoing	Same as above	N/A	N/A
8.17 Appeal Process A Developer or Local Government receiving a SCAD Letter that indicates permanent capacity is not available may implement the applicable process outlined below.				
(a) A Developer adversely impacted by a SCAD Letter made as a part of the PSC process may appeal such determination by written request to the School Board.	Ongoing	None of the SCAD Letters issued by the School District in 2011 were appealed by developers.	N/A	N/A
(b) If the School Board rules in favor of the Developer, School District staff shall issue a subsequent SCAD Letter based on the decision of the School Board. If the School Board does not rule in favor of the Developer or upholds the decision of District staff, the Developer may elect to pursue other appropriate measures.	Ongoing	None of the SCAD Letters issued by the School District in 2011 were appealed by developers.	N/A	N/A
(c) A Developer adversely impacted by a non-acceptance of proposed proportionate share mitigation made as a part of the PSC process may elect to pursue other appropriate measures.	Ongoing	In 2011, no proportionate share mitigation was submitted to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
(d) A Developer adversely impacted by a Local Government decision made as a part of the PSC process may appeal such decision using the process identified in the Local Government's regulations for appeal of development orders.		N/A	N/A	N/A
(e) A Local Government adversely impacted by a SCAD Letter made as a part of the PSC process may initiate the process outlined in Subsection 10.1(a) of this Amended Agreement. If the issue cannot be resolved, the Local Government may appeal such determination to the School Board. If the Local Government is not satisfied with the decision of the School Board, the Local Government or the School Board may seek an advisory opinion from the Oversight Committee. If either the School Board or the Local Government is not satisfied with the opinion of the Oversight Committee, either party may pursue the process outlined in Subsection 10.1.(b) of this Amended Agreement.		None of the SCAD Letters issued by the School District in 2011 were appealed by local governments.	N/A	N/A
(f) If the School Board does not accept proportionate share mitigation proposed by a Local Government, and such decision results in a dispute between the entities, the Local Government or the School Board may seek an advisory opinion from the Oversight Committee. If the Local Government is not satisfied with the opinion of the Oversight Committee, either party may pursue the process outlined in Subsection 10.1.(b) of this Amended Agreement.		In 2011, no local government proposed proportionate share mitigation to the School District for consideration.	N/A	N/A
COLLOCATION AND SHARED USE 9.1 - During preparation of the DEFP and local government capital improvement plans, the School Board and local governments are encouraged to collocate school facilities with local government civic facilities to enable shared use of the facilities.			representative on the SWG, continues to	Municipalities, through their SWG staff representatives continue to participate in the collocation efforts.

SECTIONS 9.2 - To enable the collocation/shared use of public school facilities with Local Government/civic facilities, the Local Governments shall in January of each year provide to the SWG information on Local Government public/civic facilities planned for inclusion in its five-year capital improvements plan that could potentially be collocated with public school facilities. Upon receipt of the information, the SWG shall forward the information to the School District. Also, the Local Governments shall examine the annually submitted School Board's Five-Year Tentative DEFP provided pursuant to Subsection 4.1 of this Amended Agreement, and include in the written comments back to the School District information regarding the potential public/civic facilities that could be collocated with planned new schools delineated in the Five-Year Tentative DEFP.	year/ongoing	SCHOOL BOARD In 2011, the School District did not receive any information via the SWG regarding the location of future local government public/civic facilities. This is because the County and Municipalities indicated that there were no public/civic facilities in their five-year capital improvements plan that could potentially be collocated with public school facilities.	were no public/civic facilities in its five-year capital improvements plan that could	were no public/civic facilities in their five-year capital improvements plan that could
This requirement shall not prevent the Local Government from providing information on collocation to the SWG throughout the calendar year. Information provided to the SWG and School District shall at the minimum include the planned type of public facility, acreage and location/parcel map. Information provided shall be in hard copy and electronic copy. Upon receiving such information, the School District shall organize meetings with the subject Local Government(s) to further pursue and work towards the collocation of the facilities. The entities shall notify the SWG of their efforts toward collocation of the subject facilities. As part of efforts toward the collocation of such facilities in Broward County, the SWG shall include in all of its meeting agendas, an agenda item relating to the provision information regarding collocation as stated herein. Subsequently, the SWG shall in its report to the Oversight Committee, advise the Committee of ongoing efforts toward collocation, including information on certificates of occupancy to the School Board.		Same as above	Same as above	Same as above
9.3 - Separate legal agreement to address each collocated facility.	As necessary	The School Board has three master recreation lease agreements (MRL) with Broward County and nineteen (19) municipalities. It also has reciprocal use agreements with seventeen (17) municipalities.		
RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES 10.1 - Dispute Resolution	As necessary	In 2011, the School Board did not invoke and was not involved in dispute resolution regarding the Agreement.	In 2011, Broward County did not invoke and was not involved in dispute resolution regarding the Agreement.	In 2011, no Municipality invoked nor was involved in dispute resolution regarding the Agreement.

SECTIONS	FREQUENCY	SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
		SCHOOL BOARD	BROWARD COUNTY	MUNICIPALITIES
OVERSIGHT PROCESS 11.1 - The School Board, Broward County and Municipalities to each appoint five representatives to the Oversight Committee.	Immediately	At the June 7, 2011 Regular School Board meeting, the School Board reappointed one of its representatives to the Committee.	its representatives, and appointed one new	In 2011, the Broward League of Cities reappointed four of its representatives to the Oversight Committee.
11.2 - Municipalities to appoint their five representatives to the Oversight Committee through a mutually agreeable process.	Immediately	N/A	N/A	The municipalities continue to appoint all five municipal representatives to the Committee through the Broward League of Cities.
11.3 - THIS SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION				
SPECIAL PROVISIONS 12.1 - THE ABOVE SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION				
EFFECTIVE DATE AND TERM 13.1 - This Amended Agreement shall become effective upon the signatures of the School Board, the County and at least seventy-five percent (75%) of the Municipalities which include at least fifty percent (50%) of the population within Broward County. This Amended Agreement may be cancelled by mutual agreement of the School Board, the County and the respective Municipalities, unless otherwise cancelled as provided or allowed by law.	December 31, 2008, and Dates for Proposed	In the 2009/10 school year, the School Board initiated amendments to the Amended ILA that proposed changing the LOS from 110% permanent FISH capacity to 100% gross capacity. The amendments were memorialized in the Second Amended ILA and complied with Section 14.1 (f) of this Amended Agreement. The School Board approved the Agreement on the date depicted in Attachment "D".	Amended ILA, and the approval date is depicted in Attachment "D".	
AMENDMENT PROCEDURES 14.1 Process to Amend the Interlocal Agreement - NOT NECESSARY TO DEPICT PROCESS IN THIS REPORT	Ongoing	In 2011, the School Board did not propose any amendments to the Second Amended Interlocal Agreement.	In 2011, Broward County did not propose any amendments to the Second Amended ILA.	In 2011, the Municipalities did not propose any amendments to the Second Amended ILA.
MISCELLANEOUS 15 - THE ABOVE SUBSECTION IS NOT NECESSARY FOR EVALUATION				

Sections with Issues that Need Resolution

Source: The Amended Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning, December 1, 2011 Staff Working Group Meeting.

THE SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA 2011 STAFF WORKING GROUP MEETING ATTENDANCE SHEET

Local Government/Agency	3/3/2011 Meeting	4/26/2011 Meeting	6/2/2011 Meeting	9/1/2011 Meeting	12/1/2011 Meeting
Coconut Creek		Х		Х	Х
Cooper City	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Coral Springs	X	X	X	Х	Х
Dania Beach	X		X	X	Х
Davie	X	Х	X	X	X
Deerfield Beach	X		X		X
Fort Lauderdale	Х	Х		Х	Х
Hallandale Beach	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Hollywood	X		X		
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea				Х	
Lauderdale Lakes					
Lauderhill	X	X		X	Х
Margate	X	X	X	Х	X
Miramar	X	Х		Х	Χ
North Lauderdale	X	X	X		Χ
Oakland Park	X	X	X	X	Χ
Parkland	X	X	X	X	
Pembroke Park	X		X	X	Х
Pembroke Pines	X	Х	X	Х	Х
Plantation	X	X	X	X	X
Pompano Beach	X	X	X	X	X
Southwest Ranches	X	X	X	X	Х
Sunrise	X	X	X	X	X
Tamarac	X	X	X	X	X
West Park	X	X	X	X	X
Weston	X	Х	X	Х	Х
Wilton Manors	X	X	X		
Broward County	X	Х	Х	Х	Х
Broward County Planning Council*	X	X	X	Х	
Broward County Public Schools*	X	X	X	Х	Х
South Florida Regional Planning Council*					

 $Source: \ The \ School \ Board \ of \ Broward \ County, Florida, Growth \ Management \ Department$

Did not attend any meetings in 2011

x Denotes attendance by Signatory Representative

^{*} Governmental Agency

LIST DEPICTING ACTION BY LOCAL GOVERNMENT REGARDING INCLUSION OF SCHOOL BOARD REPRESENTATIVE ON LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY

Number	City	Action Taken	Date Action Taken
1	Coconut Creek	X	7/8/05
2	Cooper City	X	10/8/03
3	Coral Springs	X	12/9/03
4	Dania Beach	X	10/26/04
5	Davie	X	10/8/03
6	Deerfield Beach	X	9/6/05
7	Fort Lauderdale	X	7/6/05
8	Hallandale Beach	X	10/16/06
9	Hollywood	X	11/5/03
10	Lauderdale-By-The-Sea	X	8/19/09
11	Lauderdale Lakes	X	10/11/05
12	Lauderhill	X	9/29/03
13	Margate	X	8/17/05
14	Miramar	X	3/3/04
15	North Lauderdale	X	12/2/03
16	Oakland Park	X	2/16/05
17	Parkland	X	4/7/04
18	Pembroke Park	X	9/24/08
19	Pembroke Pines	X	11/5/03
20	Plantation	X	11/12/03
21	Pompano Beach	X	1/24/06
22	Southwest Ranches	X	6/27/07
23	Sunrise	X	1/13/04
24	Tamarac	X	1/26/05
25	West Park	X	5/17/08
26	Weston	X	2/7/05
27	Wilton Manors	X	2/11/03
28	Broward County	X	8/5/03

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

X Denotes that Local Government took formal action to include representative on the local planning agency

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT WITH RECOMMENDATIONS TO PROVIDE MITIGATION FOR ANTICIPATED SCHOOL IMPACT 2011/2012

	umber	ıation	Use/Zoning	& Type	Use/Zoning	& Type	f Units and Type		Schools 1		ted, School Y		2011/12	ited	Generated	Mitigation of d Students	ed to Provide				
No.	Project Name/Number	Land Use Designation	Existing Land U	Permitted Units	Proposed Land Use/Zoning	Additional Units	Total Number of	Jurisdiction	Elementary	Students Generated	Middle	Students Generated	High	Students Generated	Total Students G	Requested Mitigation Anticipated Students	Developer Agreed to Mitigation	Agency Imposing Conditions	Mitigation Option	Date Reviewed	Developer/Owner
1	Broadstone PC 11-3		Comm.	0	Med.(16) Resid.	414 GA	414 GA	Coconut Creek	Tradewinds	50	Lyons Creek	23	Monarch	29	102	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	4/8/2011	Hillsboro Associates, LLLF
1	Palm Ranch		Low(1);	0	Med.(16)	414 0/1	414 0/1	Coconut Creek	Trade winds	30	Lyons Creek		Hollywood	2)	102	110	14/21	14/11	10/71		PAC Land Development
2	Apartments		Medium(10)	112 SF	Resid.	326 GA	326 GA	Davie	Silver Ridge	39	Driftwood		Hills	22	79	No	No	N/A	N/A		Corporation
3	Garden Walk		Commercial	0	Med.(16) Resid.	371 GA	371 GA	Coconut Creek	Tradewinds	45	Lyons Creek	20	Monarch	26	91	No	No	N/A	N/A	11/30/2011	Garden Walk Limited Liability Partnership
	Total			112		1,111	1,111			134		61		77	272						

Source: School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department, Facility Management, Planning & Site Acquisition

SF: Single Family, ; TH: Townhomes, ; GA: Garden Apartments, 1,111; MR: Midrise, ; HR: Highrise,

APPROVAL/EFFECTIVE DATES REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE SECOND AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING

Local Governments/Entity	Second Amended ILA Approval Date	* Effective Date For Comprehensive Plan Amendment	**Land Development Code/Policy Adoption Date
Coconut Creek	4/22/2010	Pending information from the City	Pending information from the City
Cooper City	3/9/2010	TBD	TBD
Coral Springs	6/1/2010	1/4/2011	9/2/2008
Dania Beach	4/14/2010	11/8/2011	Anticipated adoption date is 4/2012
Davie	4/21/2010	6/11/2011	8/17/2011
Deerfield Beach	4/20/2010	2/12/2011	N/A
Fort Lauderdale	Deferred indefinitely	TBD	TBD
Hallandale Beach	5/5/2010	Will be updated 10/17/2012	Will be updated concurrently
Hollywood		TBD	TBD
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea	9/27/2010	3/22/2011	Ongoing
Lauderdale Lakes		TBD	TBD
Lauderhill	4/26/2010	N/A	9/14/2011
Margate	4/7/2010	2/16/2011	Automatic by reference
Miramar	6/2/2010	7/1/2011	TBD
North Lauderdale	4/27/2010	7/1/2011	TBD
Oakland Park		3/18/2011	TBD
Parkland	2/17/2010	City approved 4/21/11, transmitted to DCA 5/3/11	Automatic by reference
Pembroke Park	3/10/2010	2/10/2011	5/14/2011
Pembroke Pines	4/21/2010	5/22/2011	Automatic by reference
Plantation	4/7/2010	2/6/2011	TBD
Pompano Beach	4/13/2010	5/10/2011	Adopted Concurrently with Plan Amendment
Southwest Ranches	5/20/2010	Approved 9/20/2011	Approved 9/20/2011
Sunrise	3/23/2010	6/14/2011	5/10/2011
Tarana	F /2/ /2010	Approved 3/28/12 (1st reading), second reading scheduled	Approved 3/28/12 (1st reading), second reading scheduled
Tamarac	5/26/2010	4/12/2012	4/12/2012
West Park	3/17/2010	12/31/2010	TBD
Weston	3/15/2010	12/28/2010	TBD
Wilton Manors	5/11/2010	3/15/2011	8/12/2008
Broward County	2/23/2010	3/27/2012	TBD
Broward County School Board	***3/23/2010	N/A	11/9/2010

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department N/A Not Applicable TBD - To Be Determined

Municipality denied or did not take formal action on Second Amended ILA

* Comprehensive Plan Amendment which includes the Capital Improvement Element

^{**} Per Local Government/School Board

^{***} Reflects date School Board took action to address correction to the initially approved (2/2/10) Second Amended ILA

BROWARD COUNTY QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS (LUPAS), PLATS AND SITE PLANS 2011

_				
Ev	hi	h	iŧ	⊑ _1
-			IL.	

Quarter			PL	ATS		SITE PLA	INS		LAND USE	E PLAN AME	ENDMENTS
	Plat Number	SBBC Number	Date Received	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Date Received	Comments	LUPA Number	SBBC Project Number	Date Received	Comments
1st Quarter	050-MP-01	935-2010	1/18/2011	Khalil Plat, 1 SF, Approved 1/11/2011							
	063-MP-06	743-2009	1/18/2011	Celebration Pointe, Approved 1/11/2011, from 412GA to 580 GA Cypress Grove, Approved 1/25/2011,							
	049-MP-04	959-2010	1/28/2011	from 362 TH and 64 GA to 402 TH							
	070 MP 04	040 0040		Paloma Lakes - Approved 3/29/11 from 244 TH and 56 GA to 24 GA (existing) and 268 TH (66 existing, 202 proposed)							
	070-MP-04	912-2010	3/29/2011	 Snake Creek Residential - Approved							
	005-MP-07	422-2008		3/29/11 from 954 TH, 290 GA and 416 HR to 863 TH, 290 GA and 416 MR							
2nd Quarter	029-MP-09	833-2009	4/13/2011	John Knox Village Amended, 674 HR unites (503 existing, 171 proposed); 87 GA (38 existing, 49 proposed); 216 Villas (204 existing, 12 proposed); Nursing Home/ALF with 247 units (120 existing, 127 proposed)							
	006-UP-89	977-2011	4/27/2011	St. Nicholas Ukrainian Orthodox Church, from non-resid. to 1 SF							
	038-MP-90	995-2011	6/28/2011	Maple Pond-SOS Children's Village of Florida, from 12 SF to 14 SF, approved 6/28/2011							
	050-MP-03		6/28/2011	Pembroke Oaks, from 168 HR to 100 MR, approved 6/28/2011							

BROWARD COUNTY QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENTS (LUPAS), PLATS AND SITE PLANS 2011

_				
Ev	hi	h	iŧ	⊑ _1
-			IL.	

Quarter		PLATS PLATS	ATS		SITE PLA	INS	I	_AND USE	PLAN AME	ENDMENTS	
	Plat Number	SBBC Number	Date Received	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Date Received	Comments	LUPA Number	SBBC Project Number	Date Received	Comments
3rd Quarter	001-UP-92	858-2010	8/16/2011	CABA Cultural Center, approved delegation requests amending level of approved residential developments from Community Center to 1 SF, 8/16/2011							
	020-PL-79	1017-2011		New Covenant Church Plat (Tract D-2), From office to 128 GA, approved 8/30/2011							
4th Quarter	002-MP-91	1037-2011	10/11/2011	Lady Elizabeth Plat, from 22 GA + Commercial to Parcel A-1, 22 GA, Parcel A-2, 24 GA, approved 10-11-2011							
	001-MP-11	932-2010	12/13/2011	Weston Estates, 125 SF, approved							
	041-MP-05	583-2008	12/13/2011	Davie Road Triangle Plat, from office to 69 GA							

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LUPA'S, REZONING AND SITE PLAN APPLICATIONS

2011

			1st Quar	ter				2nd Qu	ıarter			3	rd Quarter					Ith Quarter	•	
Municipality	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments
Coconut Creek			10/12/2011		No Activity			10/12/2011		No Activity			10/12/2011		No Activity			3/20/2012		No Activity
Cooper City			4/12/2011		No Activity		The Ranches @ Cooper City a/k/a Estela Estates, 20 SF, Rez/SP	7/11/2011	5/24/2011, expires 11/24/2012	Plat has not yet been approved for the 20 SF units, nor was a SP vesting verification sought or obtained by the City prior to SP approval.			9/30/2011		No Activity	529-2008	Cascada Isles at Monterra Site Plan, 146 TH, #SP7-1-11	1/12/2012	10/26/201:	Expires 4/26/2013; approved without a vesting verification
1					j		,			71					ĺ		,			
Coral Springs		Daniela Springs aka La Placida, 36 SF	4/14/2011	3/29/2011	Site Plan, #33-DRC- 10			7/28/2011		No Activity			9/28/2011		No Activity			1/26/2012		No Activity
Dania Beach			7/8/2011	0,20,2022	No Activity			7/8/2011		No Activity			9/29/2011		No Activity			1/4/2012		No Activity
Town of Davie	795-2009	Sunforest Apts., 600 MR Shotgun East	4/4/2011		Site plan expires 8/16/2012,vesting verification not			7/8/2011		No Activity			9/30/2011		No Activity	622-2009, 1037-2011	Toscana Site Plan, #MSP 11-106, 350 GA	1/6/2012	10/5/2011	Expires 4/5/2013, Site Plan approved by City without vesting verification letter
	930-2010	Estates, 13 SF	4/4/2011	2/16/2011	obtained for the SP															
Deerfield Beach			3/30/2011	_,	No Activity			7/5/2011		No Activity			10/6/2011		No Activity			1/2/2012		No Activity
Fort Lauderdale			6/2/2011		No Activity			8/29/2011		No Activity			11/14/2011		No Activity	965-2011	Port Royale Redevelopment Site Plan, 255 MR, 300 HR	2/22/2012	12/21/2013	1 Expires 12/21/2013
Hallandale							466 LLC Site Plan, 1													
Beach			4/14/2011		No Activity	998-2011	SF	7/6/2011	5/24/2011	Expires 6/24/2012			9/28/2011		No Activity			1/13/2012		No Activity
							Perlman Residence,													
						1000-2011	1 SF	7/6/2011	5/4/2011	Expires 5/4/2012										
Hollywood	967-2011	Washington Park Redev. SP, 16 TH, 8 GA Apogee, SP, 49 HR	4/26/2011 4/26/2011		Site plan expires 1/13/2013. Approval for SP granted prior to issuance of SCAD on 3/4/2011 Expires 2/10/2013 (design), and 3/16/2013 (SP)			7/11/2011		No Activity			9/30/2011		No Activity			1/31/2012		No Activity

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LUPA'S, REZONING AND SITE PLAN APPLICATIONS

2011

			1st Quar	ter				2nd Qu	arter			3	ord Quarter				4	th Quarter	r	
Municipality	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments
Lauderdale-By-																				
The-Sea			4/1/2011		No Activity			7/13/2011		No Activity			2/22/2012		No Activity			1/3/2012		No Activity
Lauderdale								= 104 10044					40/5/0044					4 (0= (0.40		
akes auderhill			4/5/2011		No Activity			7/21/2011		No Activity			10/7/2011		No Activity			1/27/2012		No Activity
			4/4/2011		No Activity			7/12/2011		No Activity			9/30/2011		No Activity			1/3/2012		No Activity
Margate			4/6/2011		No Activity			7/6/2011		No Activity			10/3/2011		No Activity			1/4/2012		No Activity
. Grann ar	691 2000	Foxcroft(Waterview) SP, 300 SF	4/26/2011		Vesting verification letter for SP not obtained. Also no approval expiration given.			8/30/2011		No Activity			2/7/2012		No Activity			2/28/2012		No Activity
Airamar Jorth	681-2009) SP, 300 SF	4/26/2011	1/26/2011	expiration given.			8/30/2011	-	No Activity			2/7/2012		No Activity	 		2/28/2012		No Activity
Lauderdale			4/1/2011		No Activity			10/3/2011		No Activity			9/30/2011		No Activity			1/3/2012		No Activity
										•		Kozloski Apts., 6			Expires 3-28-					
Dakland Park			4/4/2011		No Activity			7/7/2011		No Activity	955-2010	GA	9/28/2011	9/28/2011	2012			1/3/2012		No Activity
Parkland			4/5/2011		4/5/2011			7/19/2011		No Activity	844-2010	Bruschi/Standard Pacific Rezoning, 02-Z-11	10/3/2011	7/6/2011		802-2009	Triple H Ranch, #01- SP-11, 570 SF	1/4/2012	10/5/2011	18 months from 10/5/2011
																844-2010	Bruschi (aka Standard Pacific), #02-SP-11, 458 SF	1/4/2012	12/7/2011	18 months from 12/7/2011
Cown of Pembroke Park			4/6/2011		No Activity			7/20/2011		No Activity			10/6/2011		No Activity			1/5/2012		No Activity
Pembroke Pines			3/30/2011		No Activity			6/28/2011		No Activity			9/28/2011		No Activity	1014-2011	Mill Creek Site Plan,	1/2/2012	11/2/2011	
lantation			8/30/2011		No Activity	973-2011	One Plantation Place, 321 HR Fountains aka	8/30/2011	6/22/2011	Site Plan Expires 6/22/2013			12/9/2011		No Activity	1058-2011	Emerald Creek Site Plan, #11-0018, 66 TH	1/6/2012	12/14/2011	12/14/2012
						1009-2011	Midtown 24, 227	8/30/2011	6/8/2011	Expires 6/8/2013										

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED RESIDENTIAL LUPA'S, REZONING AND SITE PLAN APPLICATIONS

2011

		1st Quar	ter				2nd Qu	arter			3	rd Quarter				4	lth Quarter	t	
SBBC Project Number	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments	SBBC Project Number	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix	Date Received	Final Approval Date	Comments
		6/27/2011		,	1054-2011	Downtown Pompano TOC, 143 SE 236 TH 989 MR	12/5/2011	6/22/2011				12/8/2011		No Activity		PRH 1116 North Ocean LLC Site Plan, #11-12000024, 130	1/6/2012	11/22/2011	
	Highland Oaks,	6/26/2011			1004 2011	Poprasky Duplex, 2 TH	12/5/2011	5/18/2011	Site Plan granted without SCAD Report			12/0/2011		140 Fictivity			1/0/2012	11/22/2011	
						Pines @ Crystal Lake, 92 TH	12/5/2011	4/20/2011											
		12/6/2011		No Activity	986-2011	Wareham SFR, 1 SF	12/6/2011	4/29/2011		993-2011	Shihadeh Site Plan, 1 SF	2/3/2012	7/1/2011		1063-2011	Erbs Site Plan, 1 SF	2/3/2012	10/14/2011	
				,						987-2011	Harrison Site Plan, 1 SF	2/3/2012	7/29/2011		1091-2011	Goldstein Site Plan, 1 SF	2/3/2012	12/15/2011	
										1046-2011	1	2/3/2012	8/11/2011						
																+			No Activity No Activity
		4/1/2011		INO ACTIVITY			2/23/2012		NO ACTIVITY			2/23/2012		NO ACIIVILY			2/23/2012		NO ACTIVITY
		4/4/2011		No Activity			7/6/2011		No Activity			10/3/2011		No Activity			1/24/2012		No Activity
		7/6/2011		No Activity			7/5/2011		No Activity			9/30/2011		,			1/3/2012		No Activity
				,										,					No Activity No Activity
	Project Number 946-2010	Project Residenial	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix Pate Received	Project Number Residenial Type/Unit Mix Date Received Final Approval Date 946-2010 34 TH 6/27/2011 2/27/2011 335-2008 138 TH 6/26/2011 2/27/2011 12/6/2011 12/6/2011 4/7/2011 4/1/2011 4/4/2011 4/4/2011 7/6/2011 7/6/2011 7/6/2011	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix	Date Project Residenial Type/Unit Mix Date Received Pinal Approval Date Comments SBBC Project Number Project Project Number Project Project Number Project Project Number Project Projec	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix Pate Received Pate Number Project Number	Development and Project Number Proje	Development and Residenial Type/Unit Mix Project Number Project Numb	Development and Residenial Number Type/Unit Mix Pate Received Date Da	SBBC Project Number Project Number	SBC Project Residenial Number Project Residenial Type/Unit Mix	SBC Project Residenial Recived Type/Unit Mix Type/Unit	Project Proj	SBEC Project Project Project Number Date Received Project Pro	SBC Project Project Project Project Number Residential Type/Unit Mix Date Received Project Project Number Final Approval Date Received Project Number SBC Residential Approval Date Received Project Number SBC Residential Type/Unit Mix Development and Received Project Number SBC Recei	SBC Project Residenial Number Project Project	SBC Powelopment and Residenial Project Residenial Received Project Residenial Type Unit Mix Project Type Unit Mix Type U	SBC Project Received Received Received Received Project Project Received Project Project Received Project Pr

 $Source: \ The \ School \ Board \ of \ Broward \ County, Florida, Growth \ Management \ Department$

MUNICIPAL QUARTERLY REPORTS REGARDING APPROVED VESTED SITE PLANS 2011

	1st Quarter				2nd Quarter				3rd Quarter				4th Quarter							
		Development																		
	SBBC Project	and Residential	Date	Final Approval		SBBC Project	Development and Residential	Date	Final Approval		SBBC Project	Development and Residential	Date	Final Approval		SBBC Project	Development and Residential	Date	Final Approval	
Municipality	,	Type/Unit Mix	Received	Date	Comments	Number	Type/Unit Mix	Received	Date	Comments		Type/Unit Mix	Received	Date	Comments	,		Received	Date	Comments
Coconut Creek		71	10/12/2011		No Activity		71	10/12/2011		No Activity		71	10/12/2011		No Activity		71	3/20/2012		No Activity
Cooper City			4/12/2011		No Activity			7/11/2011		No Activity			9/30/2011		No Activity			1/12/2012		No Activity
Coral Springs			4/14/2011		No Activity			7/28/2011		No Activity			9/28/2011		No Activity			1/26/2012		No Activity
Dania Beach			7/8/2011		No Activity			7/8/2011		No Activity			9/28/2011		No Activity			1/4/2012		No Activity
Town of Davie			4/5/2011		No Activity			7/8/2011		No Activity			9/30/2011		No Activity			1/6/2012		No Activity
Deerfield Beach			3/30/2011		No Activity			7/5/2011		No Activity			10/6/2011		No Activity			1/2/2012		No Activity
Fort Lauderdale			6/2/2011		No Activity			8/29/2011		No Activity			11/14/2011		No Activity			2/22/2012		No Activity
Hallandale Beach			4/14/2011		No Activity			7/6/2011		No Activity			9/28/2011		No Activity			1/13/2012		No Activity
Hollywood			4/26/2011		No Activity			7/11/2011		No Activity			9/30/2011		No Activity			1/31/2012		No Activity
Lauderdale-By-The-Sea			4/1/2011		No Activity			7/13/2011		No Activity			2/22/2012		No Activity			1/3/2012		No Activity
Lauderdale Lakes			4/5/2011		No Activity			7/21/2011		No Activity			10/7/2011		No Activity			1/27/2012		No Activity
Lauderhill			4/4/2011		No Activity			7/12/2011		No Activity			9/30/2011		No Activity			1/3/2012		No Activity
Margate			4/6/2011		No Activity			7/6/2011		No Activity			10/3/2011		No Activity			1/4/2012		No Activity
Miramar			4/26/2011		No Activity			8/30/2011		No Activity			2/7/2012		No Activity			2/28/2012		No Activity
North Lauderdale			4/1/2011		No Activity			10/3/2011		No Activity			9/30/2011		No Activity			1/3/2012		No Activity
Oakland Park																	Kozloski Apts., 6 GA, #CD10-			
			4/4/2011		No Activity			7/7/2011		No Activity			9/28/2011		No Activity	955-2010	12Z/C	1/3/2012	9/28/2011	3/28/2012
Parkland			4/5/2011		No Activity			7/19/2011		No Activity			10/3/2011		No Activity			1/4/2012		No Activity
Town of Pembroke Park			4/6/2011		No Activity			7/20/2011		No Activity			10/6/2011		No Activity			1/5/2012		No Activity
Pembroke Pines			3/30/2011		No Activity			6/28/2011		No Activity		Veranda Phase 2	9/28/2011		No Activity			1/2/2012		No Activity
Plantation			8/30/2011		No Activity		Fountains aka Midtown 24 Site Plan, #PP11-0006, 227 HR	12/1/2011	6/8/2011	Expires 6/8/2013		aka Lakeside Village at Plantation Site Plan, #04-RF-06, 16 TH, 181 MR	12/9/2011	10/12/2011	Expires 10/12/2013		Veranda Phase II, #PP 11-0009, 16 TH, 181 HR	1/6/2012	10/12/2011	1 10/12/2013
Pompano Beach			6/27/2011		No Activity			12/5/2011		No Activity			12/8/2011		No Activity	1		1/6/2012		No Activity
Town of Southwest Ranches			12/6/2011		No Activity			12/6/2011		No Activity			2/3/2012		No Activity	1		2/3/2012		No Activity
Sunrise			4/7/2011		No Activity			7/13/2011		No Activity			10/10/2011		No Activity			1/6/2012		No Activity
Tamarac			4/1/2011		No Activity			2/23/2012		No Activity			2/23/2012		No Activity			2/23/2012		No Activity
Unincorporated Broward County			4/4/2011		No Activity			7/6/2011		No Activity			10/3/2011		No Activity			1/24/2012		No Activity
West Park			7/6/2011		No Activity			7/5/2011		No Activity			9/30/2011		No Activity	1		1/3/2012	1	No Activity
Weston			7/6/2011		No Activity			7/5/2011		No Activity			9/30/2011		No Activity	1		1/3/2012	1	No Activity
Wilton Manors			4/5/2011		No Activity			7/7/2011		No Activity	-		10/5/2011		No Activity	1		1/24/2012	1	No Activity

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

						Date District		
							Data Bassinad	
						Received	Date Received	
NT C			CCADI			Notification from	Final County	
No. of	CDDCN	DI ANT	SCAD Issue	T . 1	D : (N	Broward County	Commission	
Plats	SBBC No.	Plat No.	Date	Jurisdiction	Project Name	Re: Final	Approval	
1	SBBC-959-2010	049-MP-04	1/11/2011	Pompano Beach	Cypress Grove	1/28/2011	1/25/2011	
						Requested Extension		
2	SBBC-962-2011	023-MP-10	2/7/2011	Fort Lauderdale	Atlantech Plaza	8/21/11 to 2/12/12	1/10/2012	
_	3DDC-702-2011	023-WH -10	2/7/2011	Tort Lauderdaic	7 titaliteeli 1 iaza	0/21/11 to 2/12/12	1/10/2012	
3**	SBBC-422-2008	005-MP-07	2/18/2011	Miramar	Snake Creek Residential	3/20/2011	3/29/2011	
					St. Nicholas Ukrainian			
4**	SBBC-977-2011	006-UP-89	3/1/2011	Cooper City	Orthodox Church	4/27/2011	4/26/2011	
5	SBBC-912-2010	070-MP-04	3/8/2011	Coconut Creek	Paloma Lakes	3/29/2011	3/29/2011	
6	SBBC-501-2008	037-MP-08	9/16/2011	Coconut Creek	Bel Lago Plat	2/28/2012	2/28/2012	
/	SBBC-995-2011	038-MP-90	4/5/2011	Coconut Creek	SOS Children's Village Estela Estates aka The	6/28/2011	6/28/2011	
8	SBBC-992-2011	018-MP-01	4/7/2011	Cooper City	Ranches at Cooper City	9/28/2011	9/27/2011	
-			27172022			7, 20, 2022	-7 = 7 = 0 = 0	
9**	SBBC-997-2011	015-MP-91	4/14/2011	Pembroke Pines	DGN III, Inc. (Pathways)			
					Parkland Golf &	Requested Extension		
10	SBBC-1003-2011	005-MP-11	5/5/2011	Parkland	Country Club, Pod 13	10/26/11 to 4/27/12	1/10/2012	
11	SBBC-802-2009	008-MP-11	6/3/2011	Parkland	Triple H Ranch	3/20/2012	3/20/2012	
12	SBBC-1010-2011	050-MP-03	6/13/2011	Pembroke Park	Pembroke Oaks Parkland Golf &	6/28/2011	6/28/2011	
13**	SBBC-1016-2011	007-MP-11	6/13/2011	Parkland	Country Club, Pod 11	2/14/2012	2/14/2011	
14	SBBC-1018-2011	041-MP-08	6/13/2011	Fort Lauderdale	Northwest Gardens IV	2/11/2012	2/11/2011	
15	SBBC-347-2008	013-MP-08	6/13/2011	Pompano Beach	Pines at Crystal Lake			
16	SBBC-1017-2011	020-PL-79	7/5/2011	Pompano Beach	New Covenant Church	8/30/2011	8/30/2011	
17	SBBC-1024-2011	084-MP-04	7/8/2011	Plantation	Stiles Plantation	-,,	-,, -	
					Parkland Golf &	Requested Extension		
18	SBBC-1029-2011	012-MP-11	7/14/2011	Parkland	Country Club, Pod 19	1/9/12 to 7/6/12	2/14/2012	
10	555C 102) 2011	012 1411 11	7/11/2011	Turkuru	, ,		2/11/2012	
19	SBBC-1027-2011	013-MP-11	7/19/2011	Parkland	Parkland Golf & Country Club, Pod 20	Requested Extension 1/14/12 to 7/11/12	2/14/2012	
19	3DDC-1027-2011	013-1411 -11	7/19/2011	1 al Klaliu			2/14/2012	
			_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Parkland Golf &	Requested Extension		
20	SBBC-1028-2011	011-MP-11	7/19/2011	Parkland	Country Club, Pod 9	1/28/12 to 7/25/12	2/14/2012	
21	SBBC-1037-2011	002-MP-91	7/25/2011	Davie	Lady Elizabeth Plat	10/11/2011	10/11/2011	
						Requested Extension		
22	SBBC-1039-2011	033-MP-99	8/4/2011	Margate	Newth Plat	1/13/12 to 7/11/12	2 /20 /2012	
23	SBBC-1043-2011	015-MP-11	8/2/2011	Pompano Beach	Orchid Grove Replat Miramar Park of	2/28/2012	2/28/2012	
24	SBBC-500-2008	035-MP-07	8/4/2011	Miramar	Commerce Phase VI	9/28/2011	9/27/2011	
			0/ 1/ 2022			7, 20, 2022	-7 = 7 = 0 = 0	
						Requested Extension		
					Broadstone Cypress	2/3/12 to 7/31/12,		
25	SBBC-980-2011	014-MP-11	8/8/2011	Coconut Creek	Hammocks	approved 3/20/2012	3/20/2012	
26	SBBC-622-2009	054-MP-08	8/19/2011	Davie	I-595 Commerce Center			
					New River Village			
27**	SBBC-1057-2011	090-MP-89	9/16/2011	Fort Lauderdale	Phase III	1/11/2012	1/10/2012	
28**	SBBC-1058-2011	019-MP-05	9/27/2011	Plantation	Emerald Creek	1/11/2012	1/10/2012	
29	SBBC-1053-2011	018-MP-11	10/3/2011	Cooper City	First Baptist Church			
30	SBBC-844-2010	001-UP-11	10/24/2011	Unincorporated	Bruschi Property			
31	SBBC-1073-2011	033-MP-81	11/4/2011	Pembroke Pines	Chapel Trail II Plat	- 1		
32	SBBC-1044-2011	016-MP-11	11/17/2011	Pompano Beach	Orchid Grove Replat II	2/28/2012	2/28/2012	
33	SBBC-932-2010	001-MP-11	11/28/2011	Weston	Weston Estates	12/13/2011	12/13/2011	
34	SBBC-401-2008	025-MP-08	12/8/2011	Fort Lauderdale	Dr. Kennedy Homes			

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department

SBBC: The School Board of Broward County, Florida

^{*} Expired

^{**} Determined exempt by SBBC

LIST OF RESIDENTIAL SITE PLANS REVIEWED FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL CONCURRENCY DETERMINATION 2011

Notification From Local Apply Scade Scade	ceived Final proval in Local rerning Gody
No. of Site SCAD Issue Plans SBBC No. Date Jurisdiction Project Name Approval B	proval n Local verning ody
No. of Site SCAD Issue Plans SBBC No. Date Jurisdiction Project Name Approval B	proval n Local verning ody
No. of Site SCAD Issue Jurisdiction Project Name Approval B 1** SBBC No. Date Jurisdiction Project Name Approval B 2** SBBC-965-2011 2/9/2011 Fort Lauderdale Port Royale 8/30/2011 6/2 3 SBBC-973-2011 3/4/2011 Hollywood Washington Park Redevelopment 4/21/2011 1/1 4** SBBC-979-2011 3/7/2011 Hollywood Apogee Beach 4/26/2011 3/1 5** SBBC-986-2011 3/17/2011 Southwest Ranches Wareham Residence 2/3/2012 7/2	n Local verning body
Site SCAD Issue Jurisdiction Project Name Re: Final Approval Gov Approval 1** SBBC-965-2011 2/9/2011 Fort Lauderdale Port Royale Port Royale Port Royale 8/30/2011 6/2 3 SBBC-973-2011 3/4/2011 Hollywood Washington Park Redevelopment 4/21/2011 1/1 4** SBBC-979-2011 3/7/2011 Hollywood Apogee Beach 4/26/2011 3/1 5** SBBC-986-2011 3/17/2011 Southwest Ranches Wareham Residence 2/3/2012 7/2 6** SBBC-987-2011 3/17/2011 Southwest Ranches Harrison 2/3/2012 7/2	rerning Sody
Plans SBBC No. Date Jurisdiction Project Name Approval B 1** SBBC-965-2011 2/9/2011 Fort Lauderdale Port Royale \$\frac{2}{3}\$ \$\frac{2}{3}\$<	Body
1** SBBC-965-2011 2/9/2011 Fort Lauderdale Port Royale 2** SBBC-973-2011 2/14/2011 Plantation One Plantation Place 8/30/2011 6/2 3 SBBC-967-2011 3/4/2011 Hollywood Washington Park Redevelopment 4/21/2011 1/1 4** SBBC-979-2011 3/7/2011 Hollywood Apogee Beach 4/26/2011 3/1 5** SBBC-986-2011 3/17/2011 Southwest Ranches Wareham Residence 2/3/2012 7/2 6** SBBC-987-2011 3/17/2011 Southwest Ranches Harrison 2/3/2012 7/2	J
2*** SBBC-973-2011 2/14/2011 Plantation One Plantation Place 8/30/2011 6/2 3 SBBC-967-2011 3/4/2011 Hollywood Washington Park Redevelopment 4/21/2011 1/1 4*** SBBC-979-2011 3/7/2011 Hollywood Apogee Beach 4/26/2011 3/1 5*** SBBC-986-2011 3/17/2011 Southwest Ranches Wareham Residence 2/3/2012 7/2 6*** SBBC-987-2011 3/17/2011 Southwest Ranches Harrison 2/3/2012 7/2	2/2011
3 SBBC-967-2011 3/4/2011 Hollywood Washington Park Redevelopment 4/21/2011 1/1 4** SBBC-979-2011 3/7/2011 Hollywood Apogee Beach 4/26/2011 3/1 5** SBBC-986-2011 3/17/2011 Southwest Ranches Wareham Residence 6** SBBC-987-2011 3/17/2011 Southwest Ranches Harrison 2/3/2012 7/2	2/2011
4** SBBC-979-2011 3/7/2011 Hollywood Apogee Beach 4/26/2011 3/1 5** SBBC-986-2011 3/17/2011 Southwest Ranches Wareham Residence 6** SBBC-987-2011 3/17/2011 Southwest Ranches Harrison 2/3/2012 7/2	•
5*** SBBC-986-2011 3/17/2011 Southwest Ranches Wareham Residence 6** SBBC-987-2011 3/17/2011 Southwest Ranches Harrison 2/3/2012 7/2	3/2011
6** SBBC-987-2011 3/17/2011 Southwest Ranches Harrison 2/3/2012 7/2	6/2011
7,5,5	
7** SBBC-988-2011 3/23/2011 Hollywood El Mirador	9/2011
8** SBBC-989-2011 3/29/2011 Coral Springs Daniela Springs (La Placida) 4/14/2011 3/2	9/2011
9** SBBC-993-2011 4/8/2011 Southwest Ranches Miguel Shihadeh 2/3/2011 7/1	1/2011
10** SBBC-998-2011 4/15/2011 Hallandale 466 LLC 7/6/2011 5/2	4/2011
11** SBBC-1000-2011 4/21/2011 Hallandale Perlman Residence 7/6/2011 5/4	4/2011
12 SBBC-888-2010 5/19/2011 Davie Davie Road Triangle Apartments 10/29/2010 10/2	22/2010
Pembroke Pines City Center -	
13 SBBC-1014-2011 6/10/2011 Pembroke Pines Millcreek Resid. Trust	
14** SBBC-1035-2011 7/21/2011 Hallandale Beachwalk	
15** SBBC-1036-2011 7/21/2011 Pompano Beach Pompano Beach Condominium	
16** SBBC-889-2010 7/27/2011 Plantation Amoah Plat	
17** SBBC-1046-2011 8/9/2011 Southwest Ranches 5330 Hancock Road	
18 SBBC-1061-2011 10/11/2011 Fort Lauderdale New River Yacht Club	
19** SBBC-1063-2011 10/21/2011 Southwest Ranches 17300 SW 46 Street	
20** SBBC-1079-2011 11/29/2011 Hallandale Beach Alamanda Residence	
21** SBBC-1091-2011 12/12/2011 Southwest Ranches 5500 S.W. 195 Terrace Residence	
	<u> </u>

Source: The School Board of Broward County, Florida, Growth Management Department SBBC: The School Board of Broward County, Florida

^{*} Expired

^{**} Determined exempt by SBBC